


North American Waterfowl Management Plan Revision:

Welcome NAMWP Revision Stakeholder Input Round 2 Workshop




I attended a Round 1 workshop

1. Yes
2. No
3. I don't remember




What is your country of residence?

1. Canada
2. Mexico
3. United States




What is your primary employment affiliation?

1. Federal agency
2. Non-Government Organization
3. Private business
4. State/Provincial agency
5. University




Which *ONE* best describes the geography for which you have waterfowl **habitat** responsibilities?

1. Atlantic Flyway
2. Mississippi Flyway
3. Central Flyway
4. Pacific Flyway
5. National/multiple Flyways
6. Don't have habitat responsibilities




Which *ONE* best describes the geography for which you have waterfowl **population** responsibilities?

1. Atlantic Flyway
2. Mississippi Flyway
3. Central Flyway
4. Pacific Flyway
5. National/multiple Flyways
6. Don't have population responsibilities




How long have you been active in waterfowl management?

1. 0-1 year
2. 2-5 years
3. 6-10 years
4. 11-20 years
5. 21-30 years
6. > 30 years




Which one hat do you most frequently wear when it comes to waterfowl management?

1. Agency director/
executive director
2. Program coordinator or
administrator
3. Biologist/Scientist
4. Researcher/ academic
5. Regulations committee
member




I spend most of my time on...

1. Managing waterfowl populations (sport harvest, subsistence take, take to reduce population size)
2. Managing habitat
3. About equal
4. None of the above



How important is waterfowl hunting to you?


1. It's my most important recreational activity
2. It's one of my most important recreational activities
3. It's no more important than my other recreational activities
4. It's less important than my other recreational activities
5. It's one of my least important recreational activities
6. I don't hunt waterfowl



Ranking Fundamental Objectives

Importance (low to high) Perpetuate waterfowl hunting


1. ...
2. ...
3. ...
4. ...
5. ...
6. ...
7. ...
8. ...
9. ...
10. ...



Importance (low to high)

Sustain opportunities for the public to view and enjoy waterfowl and waterfowl landscapes


1. ...
2. ...
3. ...
4. ...
5. ...
6. ...
7. ...
8. ...
9. ...
10. ...



Importance (low to high)

Maintain healthy waterfowl populations in North America at levels sufficient to fulfill human desires and in harmony with the ecosystems on which waterfowl depend


1. ...
2. ...
3. ...
4. ...
5. ...
6. ...
7. ...
8. ...
9. ...
10. ...




Importance (low to high)

Conserve landscapes capable of sustaining waterfowl populations at levels sufficient to satisfy human desires in perpetuity

1. ...
2. ...
3. ...
4. ...
5. ...
6. ...
7. ...
8. ...
9. ...
10. ...



Importance (low to high)




- Perpetuate waterfowl hunting
- Sustain opportunities for the public to view and enjoy waterfowl and waterfowl landscapes
- Maintain healthy waterfowl populations in North America at levels sufficient to fulfill human desires and in harmony with the ecosystems on which waterfowl depend
- Conserve landscapes capable of sustaining waterfowl populations at levels sufficient to satisfy human desires in perpetuity

Workshop Evaluation


The workshop goal “To provide a summary of Round 1 Workshop results and an update on the Plan Revision process” was met.

1. Strongly Agree
2. Agree
3. Neutral
4. Disagree
5. Strongly Disagree




The workshop “To provide participants an opportunity to clarify and weight the fundamental objectives” was met.

1. Strongly Agree
2. Agree
3. Neutral
4. Disagree
5. Strongly Disagree




The workshop goal “To provide participants an opportunity to identify key measurable attributes, causal relationships and linkages ” was met.

1. Strongly Agree
2. Agree
3. Neutral
4. Disagree
5. Strongly Disagree




The workshop goal “To initiate discussion of institutions and processes that will facilitate integrated waterfowl management” was met.

1. Strongly Agree
2. Agree
3. Neutral
4. Disagree
5. Strongly disagree




The workshop goal “To provide feedback that will be useful to the Plan Committee as they move forward with the Plan Revision” was met.

1. Strongly Agree
2. Agree
3. Neutral
4. Disagree
5. Strongly Disagree



How do you feel about the Revision process as described at this meeting?

1. Excellent
2. Good
3. Okay
4. Not so good
5. Bad



Overall, I thought this workshop was a success

1. Strongly Agree
2. Agree
3. Neutral
4. Disagree
5. Strongly Disagree

