NAWMP Revision



North American Waterfowl Management Plan

Plan nord-américain de gestion de la sauvagine

Plan de Manejo de Aves Acuáticas de Norteamérica

Consultation Workshop March 22, 2010 Milwaukee, WI

Fred A. Johnson, U.S. Geological Survey G. Scott Boomer, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Dave Case, D. J. Case & Associates and members of the NAWMP Revision Steering Committee



Workshop agenda

<u>This Morning</u>:

- Introduction
 - The Revision Vision
 - Taking a SDM approach
- Break-out groups to:
 - Discuss the nature of the decision problem
 - Identify fundamental & means objectives for waterfowl management
 - Identify some measurable attributes
- Group discussion; opportunity for individual input

<u>This Afternoon</u>:

- Introduction to objectives hierarchies
- Break-out groups to:
 - Construct an objectives hierarchy
 - Identify strategic actions to achieve objectives
- Group presentations; synthesis



Evaluating Objectives...

- 1. Promote a conservation ethic in the general public
- 2. Maximize waterfowl harvest
- 3. Maximize hunter satisfaction
- 4. Promote non-consumptive uses of waterfowl
- **5.** Maintain landscapes capable of sustaining waterfowl populations in perpetuity
- 6. Increase the understanding of ecological mechanisms driving changes in waterfowl abundance and waterfowl landscapes
- 7. Expand the sources and amount of funding for waterfowl conservation activities
- **8.** Perpetuate the tradition of waterfowling
- 9. Minimize harvest of under-abundant waterfowl species
- 10. Minimize loss and degradation of wetlands and associated uplands
- 11. Provide more public hunting opportunities
- 12. Minimize the detrimental effects of over-abundant populations (e.g. depredation, habitat destruction)
- 13. Maintain/establish regulations that are simple and will lead to high compliance rates among hunters
- 14. Maintain healthy waterfowl populations as part of the North American fauna
- **15.** Maximize ecological goods and services derived from wetlands and associated uplands managed for waterfowl
- 16. Increase public support for waterfowl conservation
- 17. Increase waterfowl hunter participation
- 18. Ensure that no species of waterfowl falls below population levels necessary for long-term viability.
- 19. Increase and improve duck breeding habitat
- 20. Ensure cooperation among jurisdictions (state, flyway, partners, etc.).
- 21. Increase and improve duck wintering habitat
- 22. Maximize the efficiency and effectiveness of the infrastructure and funding for waterfowl conservation
- **23.** Provide maximum hunting opportunity.
- 24. Maintain the social license to hunt
- 25. Increase duck recruitment
- 26. Increase and improve duck migration habitat
- 27. Reduce mortality from disease and contaminants
- 28. Maintain a broad fall and winter distribution of waterfowl
- 29. Establish the infrastructure needed to ensure coherence in waterfowl management
- 30. Formalize the institutions needed to incorporate human dimensions into waterfowl management decisions
- 31. Increase hunter education and communications efforts



Evaluating Objectives


Objective Hierarchies:

- Group similar objectives along a gradient from fundamental to means
- Graphically display the conceptual linkages between means objectives and fundamental objectives
- Provide a context to develop measurable attributes
- Provide a context to develop management actions or alternatives




Fundamental Objective		Fundamental Objective		Fundamental Objective













Goal





Goal



Objectives Hierarchy: key points

- Ensures that the views of stakeholders are considered and documented
- Organizes the complexities of the waterfowl management enterprise
- Illustrates the linkages between means and fundamental objectives
- Explicitly recognizes how multiple management programs/institutions, through their actions, can affect the same means and fundamental objectives



Break-out Groups

- Review list of fundamental and means objectives
- Organize objectives from fundamental to means
- Specify relationships (linkages) between means and fundamental objectives
- List some measurable attributes associated with each objective
- Identify some potential actions to achieve fundamental or means objectives




Break-out Groups

- Develop a graphical representation of the objectives hierarchy (PowerPoint, white board, or paper)
- Be prepared to discuss the key relationships between the fundamental and means objectives
- Be prepared to discuss some potential actions to achieve fundamental or means objectives



SDM process



Some technical details to consider

Models link actions to outcomes that are relevant to the objectives (consequences); models are required to make predictions.

The decision context determines the scope of the modeling and guides development.

The initial modeling framework will have to accommodate a broad set of management alternatives to generate a prototypical consequences table.



Some expected outcomes from Consultation Workshop

Objectives hierarchy

Measurable attributes

A list of potential actions/alternatives at a very broad scale...




Break-out groups






Consultation process II




Consultation process I





































Fundamental Objectives	Alternatives				
	Portfolio 1	Portfolio 2	Portfolio 3	Portfolio 4	
Maintain Duck hunting tradition					
Maintain healthy duck populations					
Ecological goods and services					
Max harvest opportunity					
Max hunter satisfaction					



