NAWMP Revision



North American Waterfowl Management Plan

Plan nord-américain de gestion de la sauvagine

Plan de Manejo de Aves Acuáticas de Norteamérica

Consultation Workshop December 1-2, 2009 Portland, OR

Fred A. Johnson, U.S. Geological Survey G. Scott Boomer, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Dave Case, D. J. Case & Associates and members of the NAWMP Revision Steering Committee



Workshop agenda

Yesterday:


- Introduction
 - The Revision Vision
 - Taking a SDM approach
- Break-out groups to:
 - Identify fundamental & means objectives for waterfowl management
 - Identify some measurable attributes
- Group discussion; opportunity for individual input

<u>Today</u>:

- Introduction to objectives hierarchies
- Break-out groups to:
 - Construct an objectives hierarchy
 - Identify strategic actions to achieve objectives
- Group presentations; synthesis



SDM process



Evaluating Objectives...

- 1. Promote a conservation ethic in the general public
- 2. Maximize waterfowl harvest
- 3. Maximize hunter satisfaction
- 4. Promote non-consumptive uses of waterfowl
- **5.** Maintain landscapes capable of sustaining waterfowl populations in perpetuity
- 6. Increase the understanding of ecological mechanisms driving changes in waterfowl abundance and waterfowl landscapes
- 7. Expand the sources and amount of funding for waterfowl conservation activities
- 8. Perpetuate the tradition of waterfowling
- 9. Minimize harvest of under-abundant waterfowl species
- 10. Minimize loss and degradation of wetlands and associated uplands
- 11. Provide more public hunting opportunities
- 12. Minimize the detrimental effects of over-abundant populations (e.g. depredation, habitat destruction)
- **13.** Maintain/establish regulations that are simple and will lead to high compliance rates among hunters
- 14. Maintain healthy waterfowl populations as part of the North American fauna
- **15.** Maximize ecological goods and services derived from wetlands and associated uplands managed for waterfowl
- 16. Increase public support for waterfowl conservation
- 17. Increase waterfowl hunter participation
- 18. Ensure that no species of waterfowl falls below population levels necessary for long-term viability.
- 19. Increase and improve duck breeding habitat
- 20. Ensure cooperation among jurisdictions (state, flyway, partners, etc.).
- 21. Increase and improve duck wintering habitat
- 22. Maximize the efficiency and effectiveness of the infrastructure and funding for waterfowl conservation
- **23.** Provide maximum hunting opportunity.
- 24. Maintain the social license to hunt
- 25. Increase duck recruitment
- 26. Increase and improve duck migration habitat
- 27. Reduce mortality from disease and contaminants
- 28. Maintain a broad fall and winter distribution of waterfowl
- 29. Establish the infrastructure needed to ensure coherence in waterfowl management
- 30. Formalize the institutions needed to incorporate human dimensions into waterfowl management decisions
- 31. Increase hunter education and communications efforts



Evaluating Objectives


Objective Hierarchies:

- Group similar objectives along a gradient from fundamental to means
- Graphically display the conceptual linkages between means objectives and fundamental objectives
- Provide a context to develop measurable attributes
- Provide a context to develop management actions or alternatives




Fundamental Objective		Fundamental Objective		Fundamental Objective













Goal





Goal



Objectives Hierarchy: key points

- Ensures that the views of stakeholders are considered and documented
- Organizes the complexities of the waterfowl management enterprise
- Illustrates the linkages between means and fundamental objectives
- Explicitly recognizes how multiple management programs/institutions, through their actions, can affect the same means and fundamental objectives



Break-out Groups

- Review list of fundamental and means objectives
- Organize objectives from fundamental to means
- Specify relationships (linkages) between means and fundamental objectives
- List some measurable attributes associated with each objective
- Identify some potential actions to achieve fundamental or means objectives




Break-out Groups

- Develop a graphical representation of the objectives hierarchy (Powerpoint, white board, or paper)
- Be prepared to discuss the key relationships between the fundamental and means objectives
- Be prepared to discuss some potential actions to achieve fundamental or means objectives




Consultation process I





Consultation process II



Some technical details to consider

Models link actions to outcomes that are relevant to the objectives (consequences); models are required to make predictions.

The decision context determines the scope of the modeling and guides development.

The initial modeling framework will have to accommodate a broad set of management alternatives to generate a prototypical consequences table.



Some expected outcomes from Consultation Workshop


Objectives hierarchy

Measurable attributes


A list of potential actions/alternatives at a very broad scale...


































Fundamental Objectives	Alternatives				
	Portfolio 1	Portfolio 2	Portfolio 3	Portfolio 4	
Maintain Duck hunting tradition					
Maintain healthy duck populations					
Ecological goods and services					
Max harvest opportunity					
Max hunter satisfaction					



